

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-30227 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.9.2015**

Mandeep Sharma alias Mani

--Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Punjab

--Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. G.S.Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.D.Sachdeva, Additional A.G. Punjab.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks bail pending trial in FIR No. 26 dated 2.2.2014 under sections 302/307/148/149/120-B/427/109 IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, Police Station Khanna, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner places reliance on the order dated 2.9.2015 passed by this Court in CRM-M-27606 of 2015 (Harjit Singh Manhas @ Gollu Vs. State of Punjab), to contend that petitioner is identically placed and is entitled for the similar concession which has been granted by this Court to the co-accused of the petitioner. He prays for allowing the present petition.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Sayam Lal, submits the petitioner is not

AMIT KUMAR
2015.09.21 17:56
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

similarly situated with his abovesaid co-accused Harjit Singh Manhas @ Gollu. He prays for dismissal of the present petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties at considerable length, after careful perusal of record of the case and giving thoughtful consideration to the rival contentions raised, this Court is of the considered opinion that in view of the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, petitioner has been found entitled for the concession of bail pending trial. It is so said because, despite having made his best efforts, learned counsel for the State could not distinguish the case of the present petitioner from the case of his abovesaid co-accused namely Harjit Singh Manhas @ Gollu. Further, since prosecution evidence is still going on, conclusion of trial will take long time.

In view of the above and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, at this stage, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, instant petition is allowed. Petitioner is directed to be released on the bail pending trial on his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial court.

Disposed of, accordingly.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

21.9.2015
AK Sharma