

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-30192 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 07.09.2015

Sarita and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Ms. Harpinder Kaur Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized their marriage contrary to the wishes of the private respondents and they are apprehending threat at the instance of private respondents. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioners have also moved a representation (Annexure P-5) dated 3.9.2015 to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat for protection but no action has been taken thereupon.

Without commenting anything on the validity of the marriage and keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Panipat (Haryana) to consider the representation moved by the petitioners and take necessary action in accordance with law. In case, respondent No.2 finds that any danger is there to the lives of the petitioners, necessary protection be given to them.

Disposed of accordingly.

September 07, 2015

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**