

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

VIJAY ASIJA
7/2/2015
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

**Criminal Misc. No. M-36376 of 2009 (O & M)
Date of Decision:-29.5.2015**

Shyam Sunder Verma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, Deputy A.G., Haryana.

Dr. Surya Parkash, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties and the present petition is, therefore, rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

However, in case the petitioner feels that the compromise has still left some cause of action, he may file an application to revive the petition.

May 29, 2015
Vijay Asija

(**HARI PAL VERMA**)
JUDGE