

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

232

Date of Decision: 24.04.2015.

CRM-M No.30086 of 2014

Manpreet Kaur Hansra and another		Petitioners.
Vs.		
State of Punjab and another		Respondents.

AND

CRM-M No.1677 of 2015

Kaur Singh and others		Petitioners.
Vs.		
State of Punjab and another		Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr. R.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. A.S. Klar, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.
Mr. Sanjeev Roy, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Sneh Prashar, J. (Oral)

By way of this order, I shall dispose of CRM-M No.30086 of 2014 and CRM-M No.1677 of 2015 as these cases are arisen out of the same First Information Report.

2. Through the present petitions filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short "Cr.P.C."), the petitioners pray for quashing of First Information Report No.78 dated 21.07.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (in short "I.P.C.") registered at Police Station Hathur, District Ludhiana, on the basis of compromise Annexure P-1 and proceedings emanating therefrom.

3. Vide order dated 02.09.2014 in CRM-M-30086-2014 and order dated 21.01.2015 in CRM-M-1677-2015, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise. Pursuant thereto, reports have been submitted by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jagraon, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and that they have voluntarily compromised the matter. Learned counsel for the State does not dispute genuineness of the compromise in view of the report of trial Court.

4. A perusal of allegations in the First Information Report reveals that the present case squarely falls in that category of cases which can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view the authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in 'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present cases, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end. In this view of the matter, the petitions are allowed and First Information Report No.78 dated 21.07.2011 for offence punishable under Sections 420 and 120-B I.P.C. registered at Police Station Hathur, District Ludhiana and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioners.

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

April 24, 2015.

jitender