

203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-2997 of 2015

Date of Decision: February 04, 2015

Raju

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The petitioner is stated to be one of the persons, who fired at the police party. In this case, challan has been presented.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner was not named in the FIR No.384, dated 23.09.2014, under Sections 148, 149, 307, 353, 186, 120-B and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar.

A perusal of the FIR shows that the police had received an information about the slaughtering of cows and about 9-10 persons were intercepted. One of them fired at the police party. The witnesses are yet to be examined.

At this stage, there is no ground for granting regular bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

February 04, 2015

sarita

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**