

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-29857 of 2015
Date of Decision:-09.9.2015**

Rano Randhawa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Challenge in this petition is to the order dated 17.8.2015 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, whereby the revisionary Court has allowed the respondent-Parandeep Singh to go to Canada. Learned Additional Sessions Judge has allowed the respondent to leave the country on or after 25.8.2015 and to return back to India by 24.10.2015. Learned revisionary Court has already imposed a very stringent condition that the respondent Parandeep Singh shall furnish a surety to the tune of Rs.25,00,000/- in the shape of immovable property and bank guarantee for an amount of Rs.25 lacs to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that in case

the respondent-Parandeep Singh is allowed to leave the country, there is possibility that he may not return back and may stay permanently. He further submits that the learned Magistrate had rightly rejected the application of respondent No.2 as the offences, under which the challan against him was presented, are serious in nature.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

At the time, when the application filed by the respondent No.2-Parandeep Singh, was dismissed by the learned trial Court, the charges were not framed against him. However, later on, the charges were framed against him. The condition imposed by the revisionary Court is already stringent in nature. The learned revisionary Court has rightly observed that merely because a case has been registered against the accused, his fundamental rights cannot be infringed as the accused has the similar rights as an ordinary person possess. The revisionary Court has rightly allowed the application for the limited period and has observed that the respondent Parandeep Singh can visit Canada. He can leave the Country on or after 25.8.2015 and is required to return back to India upto 24.10.2015.

This Court finds that there is no illegality in the order passed by learned revisionary Court dated 17.8.2015.

Accordingly, no ground to interfere in the order dated 17.8.2015 is made out.

The present petition is dismissed.

September 09, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE