

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32630-2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.11.2015

Satish Kumar Sood

... Petitioner

Vs.

Haryana State Pollution Control Board

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. R.S. Rai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Prashish Kanwar, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Admittedly, petitioner has not availed the equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order.

In view of the above, petitioner is relegated to his equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order, at the first instance, however, with liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court again by a similar quashing petition, if necessity arises.

As fairly stated by learned counsel for the respondent-Board, if the petitioner files the appropriate petition against the impugned summoning order within a period of one month from today, respondent-Board shall not take the plea of limitation against the petitioner.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE**

02.11.2015
vishnu