

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32583 of 2013 (O&M).
Decided on:-28.8.2015.

Chuhar Singh and anotherPetitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.PC is for quashing of order dated 1.5.2013 (Annexure P-4) whereby learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Kurukshetra has summoned the petitioners under Sections 302, 323, 325, 506 and 452 read with Section 34 IPC.

Earlier vide order dated 22.11.2012 (Annexure P-2), the trial Court had not summoned the accused under Section 302 IPC, but vide order dated 25.4.2013 (Annexure P-3), learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra had directed the trial Court to consider the matter afresh. It is in compliance thereof that the petitioners have been ordered to be summoned.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Bhag Singh, submits that the evidence has already been led by both the parties and the case is now fixed before the trial Court for final arguments, but it is because of the interim order dated 4.2.2014 of this Court, the trial Court has not proceeded with the case beyond the completion of evidence.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press this petition and seeks liberty to approach the trial Court for taking all the possible pleas before the trial Court.

Dismissed as not pressed with liberty aforesaid.

August 28, 2015
'Yag Dutt'

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE