

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

225

CRM-M-32555 of 2013

Decided on : 12.05.2015

Sukhpal Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : Mr.A.S.Brar, Advocate for
Mr.R.S.Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr.Vivek Goyal, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 7.

Mr.Gaurav Singla, Advocate
for respondents No.9 and 10.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Hari Pal Verma, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to provide adequate security by way of protection from the private respondents No.4 to 10 who are stated to have been indulged in flesh trade.

On notice having been issued to the respondents, short affidavit of Harjinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Patran has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

On thorough inquiry conducted by ASI, Udham Singh, it was found that allegations made in the petition are false, frivolous and baseless. Para Nos.4 and 5 of the reply read as under:-

- “4. That during enquiry by ASI Udham Singh, it is revealed that actually Sukhpal Kaur left her matrimonial house alongwith his younger son without informing her in laws with respondent No.11 belonging to her parental village as prior to marriage, she was having friendly affairs with him. She started residing with him in an undisclosed place. Later on filed the present petition in the Hon'ble High Court, so that her in laws family do not proceed against her.
5. That on 4.5.2014, Udham Singh further came to know that Gurdas Singh respondent No.11 is admitted in Govt. Hospital, Patran as the brothers of the petitioner inflicted injuries to him and Sukhpal Kaur petitioner is also present there. So he approached the petitioner, who was sitting with another lady namely Rani wife of Naib Singh, R/o Kahangarh Gharacho, whereas Gurdas Singh, respondent No.11 was lying on a bed in the hospital. Udham Singh recorded statement of Sukhpal Kaur, petitioner, who after admitting the contents of her statements to be true, signed the same in English. The same was also attached by Gurdas Singh and mother of Gurdas Singh, who was present there. Copy of statement of Sukhpal Kaur is attached herewith as Annexure R-2 and is true English Version is attached herewith as Annexure R-2/T.

Thus, it is clear that after having perused the aforesaid reply as submitted in para Nos.4 and 5, this Court finds that the present petition is wholly misconceived and all the allegations made in the petition are false and baseless. Accordingly, present petition is dismissed.

[Hari Pal Verma]
Judge

12.05.2015
sd