

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-29679 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 16.10.2015

Ved Parkash

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. **Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)**
2. **To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mrs. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Sumeet S. Brar, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 4.9.2015, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State has affirmed the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner but opposes the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground of seriousness of the offence.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that an undertaking along with cheque of an amount of ₹ 34 lacs was given by the

petitioner.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner submit that the present FIR was registered after a delay of eight months and in case it was in the knowledge of the authorities concerned then why action was not taken. Learned counsel further contends that the goods were amounting to ₹ 40 lacs and there was no justification to accept cheque of ₹ 34 lacs.

The submission with regard to giving and acceptance of cheque is a matter of evidence, which can be seen during trial. Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and nothing is to be recovered from him, interim order passed by this Court on 4.9.2015 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

October 16, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE