

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29759 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.04.2015

Sheena Sekhon

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

Present: Mr. Mohinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Chauhan, APP
for U.T. Chandigarh.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed for quashing FIR No.81 dated 19.02.2009 under Sections 193, 420 IPC, Police Station Sector-39, Chandigarh and the subsequent proceedings on the basis of written compromise arrived at between the parties.

Report from the trial Court has been received after recording statements of parties, alongwith photocopies of statements. It is reported that compromise is voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

Learned counsel submits that allegation against the petitioner was that she had given an affidavit to the tenant and has

not filed any affidavit before public authority.

No useful purpose would be served in continuing the proceedings in this case in view of the compromise arrived at between them.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgement of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 (P&H)** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others, (2012)10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.81 dated 19.02.2009 under Sections 193, 420 IPC, Police Station Sector-39, Chandigarh and the subsequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof, are quashed.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements.

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge

20.04.2015
sk