

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-29543 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.11.2015**

Ranjit Singh @ Dupla

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment? Yes/No**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not? Yes/No**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No**

Present: Mr. Terminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ritu Punj, Addl. AG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

CRM-37334 of 2015

This application is for placing on record Annexures P-10 to P-12.

Application is allowed and Annexures P-10 to P-12 are taken on record.

CRM-M-29543 of 2015

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioner-Ranjit Singh @ Dupla for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.41 dated 18.02.2015 registered under Sections 307, 353, 186, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, Section

25 of the Arms Act and Section 16 of the Unlawful Activity Prevention Act, 1967 (deleted later on in the charge-sheet) at Police Station Kotwali, Faridkot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the case whereas he was not involved. As per allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner was shown to be arrested in the case on 18.02.2015 whereas he was illegally arrested from Rajasthan. A complaint was also filed by the petitioner before Illaqa Magistrate, Karanpura, District Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan and inquiry in that case is still pending. It is a no injury case as inspite of having weapon, no injury was caused. There is no possibility that the petitioner may influence the witnesses as the complainant and other witnesses are official witnesses. Learned counsel also submits that after presentation of challan, even charges have not been framed and the petitioner is in custody since 18.02.2015.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the custody period and also the fact that the inquiry is pending in the State of Rajasthan.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and also the fact that it is a case of no injury; the complainant and other witnesses are official witnesses and there is no possibility of influencing them; even charges have not been framed and trial may take long time to conclude; the petitioner is in custody

since 18.02.2015 and no purpose would be served by keeping him in custody, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner (Ranjit Singh @ Dupla) is directed to be released on regular bail subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

20.11.2015
neetu

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE