

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.29605 of 2014(O&M)

Date of Decision :24.02.2015

Ram Bhagat and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Raghbir

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.Mohit Garg, Advocate
for the petitioners.
Mr.Ajay Vijorana, Advocate
for the complainant-respondent.

- i. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- ii. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- iii. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed in Complaint Case bearing No. 26 dated 15.02.2012 titled as Rughbir v. Smt.Shakuntala and others, under Sections 420/467/471/506 IPC read with Section 34 IPC, Police Station Siwani pending in the court of SDJM, Siwani.

While issuing notice of motion the following contention was noticed:-

“ Learned counsel has argued that the account was opened during the tenure of the previous Sarpanch, however, from the narration of facts it has not been possible to deny that some amount was withdrawn during the tenure of the petitions- Smt.Shakuntala in CRM-M No. 29618 of 2014. As regards the petitioners in CRM-M No. 29605 of 2014, learned counsel has argued that they have been implicated only because they are family members of the complainant and otherwise they have no role to play in this whole story.”

Today learned counsel for the complainant has not been able to deny the fact that main accused was Sarpanch Shakuntala who has been arrested and has been released on regular bail.

In these circumstances I see no reason to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Let them appear before the trial Court on 05.03.2015 who shall release them on bail to its satisfaction.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the Criminal Misc.Application, if any also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

February 24, 2015
sunita