

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.29489 of 2015
Date of Decision : 07.09.2015**

Mukesh

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. R.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, D.A.G., Haryana.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.29 dated 09.02.2015 registered under Sections 304-B, 34 IPC at Police Station Sadar, Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that apart from the merits of the case the petitioner has now been in custody for more than 7 months. He has further argued that there are vague allegations of dowry in the present case.

Learned Deputy Advocate General has accepted these factual assertions and has further stated that there are total 16 witnesses and the

prosecution will lead its entire evidence on or before 31.03.2016 and till such time the bail should not be granted to the petitioner since a young life has been lost and for the petitioner to claim that there was no dispute or there is no allegation flies directly in the face of the presumption under Section 304-B IPC.

I find this to be a fair submission. In the circumstances, in view of the undertaking given by the learned Deputy Advocate General, it is directed that in case the prosecution does not lead its entire evidence on or before 31.03.2016 (subject to the petitioner not obstructing the same), the petitioner be released on bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

September 07, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**