

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-29438 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 01.09.2015

Parveen Kumari and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Mr. S.K. Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners as they have solemnized marriage contrary to the wishes of private respondents and are apprehending threat at their instance.

Petitioners, in person, are present in the Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have also moved a representation (Annexure P-5) dated 20.8.2015 to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar for protection but no action has been taken thereupon.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, notice on behalf of the State has been accepted by Mrs. Ritu Punj, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Keeping in view the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar to consider the representation moved by the petitioners and take necessary action in accordance with law after hearing the petitioners as well as private respondents.

Disposed of accordingly.

September 01, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE