

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29405-2015  
Date of Decision: September 14, 2015

Satnam Singh @ Satti @ Overseer

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

Present: Mr.Chanchal K. Singla, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for  
Mr. K.S. Sidhu, Advocate  
for the complainant.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**HARI PAL VERMA, J (Oral)**

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner namely Satnam Singh @ Satti @ Overseer in FIR No.9 dated 13.02.2015 registered under Sections 302, 148, 149, 120-B IPC and Sections 25/27 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Phul, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is no specific role attributed to the petitioner. He has been

attributed only to raise *lalkaara* and no injury has been attributed to him. The petitioner is in custody since 26.02.2015 and other co-accused, namely, Gurpreet Singh @ Beera and Niranjana Singh @ Mithu Vaid have been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 06.08.2015 in CRM-M-22260 of 2015 titled as "*Gurpreet Singh @ Beera Vs. State of Punjab*" and in CRM-M-23944-2015 titled as "*Niranjana Singh @ Mithu Vaid Vs. State of Punjab*".

Learned counsel for the complainant, on the other hand, submits that since the petitioner was present at the time of occurrence, he was instrumental in the execution of the offence. Therefore, he does not deserve the concession of regular bail.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Basant Singh, also supports the argument of learned counsel for the complainant and submits that the challan has been presented and the charges have been framed but does not dispute the facts that other accused, who were attributed *lalkaara*, have been allowed bail by this Court

Considering the fact that no injury has been attributed to the petitioner and occurrence had taken place when process of filing of nominations for the Nagar Panchayat Elections in progress, the presence of the petitioner or some public persons at the place of nomination was a natural course. Further, the

petitioner is in custody since 26.02.2015 and the challan has already been presented before the concerned Court. The co-accused for similar attributions have already been granted bail by this Court.

In view of the above, the present petition for regular bail is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Illaqa Magistrate.

It is made clear that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed any expression on the merit of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of evidence available on record.

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**

**September 14, 2015**  
sonia arora