

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33305-2012

Date of decision: 16.9.2015

Raghubir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: None for the petitioner.
Mr.D.S.Virk, AAG, Punjab.
Mr.K.S.Sandhu, Advocate for respondents No.6 to 9.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Present criminal revision petition, by way of under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, at the hands of the complainant, is directed against the respondents to issue appropriate directions for getting the matter enquired into regarding the murder of two young persons, i.e. Jawinder Kaur and Rupinder Singh @ Pinda son of the petitioner and also for registration of the FIR against private respondents No. 6 to 9.

Notice of motion was issued and pursuant thereto, reply by way of affidavit dated 21.1.2013 was filed on behalf of respondent No.5. Thereafter, another reply by way of affidavit dated 14.1.2014 was filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Another short affidavit dated 10.9.2014 along with two post-mortem reports of even date, i.e. 15.6.2012 has been filed on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 5.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Jagir Singh, Police Station Hathur, District Jagraon and referring to statement dated 15.6.2012 suffered by the petitioner himself, submits that the matter was enquired into effectively by a senior Police Officer of the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police. He further submits that since both the deceased were having undesirable relations with each other, they tied themselves with each other from the waist and jumped into the canal. Both the dead bodies were recovered. Inquest proceedings under Section 174 Cr.P.C. were conducted. After getting the post-mortem conducted by the

doctors, both the dead bodies were handed over to the respective family members of the deceased. He would next contend that as per the post-mortem report, the cause of death was due to Asphyxia as a result of drowning which was found anti mortem in nature and sufficient to cause death in the ordinary course of nature. No injury was found on the dead body of either of the accused. He submits that although it was an unfortunate end of life of two young persons, yet nobody has been found responsible in this regard except the deceased, who have committed suicide by jumping into the canal, apprehending danger to their life and liberty, at the hands of their respective family members. He submits that in view of the above peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, no further action is warranted, at the hands of investigating agency nor any order is warranted, at the hands of this Court and present petition may be disposed of.

Perusal of the record shows that nobody appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 18.9.2014. Again on 6.4.2015 nobody appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Similar is the position today. It seems that petitioner has lost his interest in the present petition.

After hearing the learned counsel for the State and going through the record of the case, as well as the peculiar fact situation in the instant petition, noticed hereinabove, this Court is of the considered view that no further orders are called for.

Disposed of, accordingly.

16.9.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE