

...

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29341-2015

Date of Decision : Sept. 22nd, 2015

Amarjeet Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab.

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN.

Present Mr.Abhishek Singla Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S.Kler, DAG, Punjab,
for the respondent-State.

SHEKHER DHAWAN, J.

Petitioner – Amarjeet Singh has applied for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.13 dated 17.1.2015 under Sections 326, 324 and 34 of Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Nihal Singh Wala, District Moga.

Relevant facts of the case, that on 16.01.2015, complainant Gurmail Singh alongwith his brother Gurmeet Singh was going to the fields of Gurtej Singh for cutting trees. Present petitioner armed with iron rod alongwith other accused, caused injuries. Petitioner Amarjit Singh allegedly gave rod blow which hit the complainant on his head. Accused Boda Singh gave dah blow which hit the complainant on the right hand little finger. When the complainant raised hand to save himself, accused Kala

...

Singh gave gandasa blow but the complainant saved himself by turning towards one side.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that injury attributed to the petitioner is under Section 324 IPC only and the remaining injury falling under Section 326 IPC is attributed to Boda who had been released on bail by learned trial Judge.

Learned State counsel while opposing the bail application of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is not appearing before the Court below and trial Court has already started proceedings for declaring the present petitioner as a Proclaimed Offender. Petitioner was armed with an iron rod and he had given injury on the head of the complainant. He is required for the purpose of investigation. So, his prayer for anticipatory bail be declined.

Having considered all the above facts that the petitioner is continuously abstaining the proceedings from the Court which resulted into initiation of proceedings of declaring him as a Proclaimed Offender, custodial investigation of the petitioner is required. So, there is no exceptional reason for granting the petitioner concession of anticipatory bail. The present application seeking anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

Sept. 22nd, 2015
som