

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29301 of 2015.

Decided on:-22.09.2015.

Rituraj @ Ricky.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Ms. Puja Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Abhishek Bhateja, Advocate for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.62 dated 17.6.2015 under Sections 498-A, 506, 354, 34 IPC (offence under Section 406 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Gidderbaha, District Muktsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a brother-in-law (Devar) and the marriage was solemnized on 13.1.2013. She further submits that the husband of the complainant has already filed a petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 and it is in order to mount pressure upon the petitioner and his family, the present FIR has been registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the FIR

has been got registered with calculated mind. Even the false allegations have been made by the complainant under Section 354 IPC and there is no entrustment of any dowry article.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the complainant submits that there are specific allegations against the petitioner which include not only the demand of dowry, but the petitioner used to keep bad eye on the complainant inviting the offence under Section 354 IPC.

Learned counsel for the State submits that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature and recovery is yet to be effected.

Heard.

This Court finds that it has become the tendency to involve the whole of the family under Section 498-A IPC. The petitioner who is brother-in-law (Devar) deserves to be granted concession of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on anticipatory bail in the present FIR.

However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when directed by the investigating agency and shall abide by the terms and conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

September 22, 2015
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE