

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29278-2015

Date of Decision: October 16, 2015

Raju

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Ms. Aditi Girdhar, Advocate, for
Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ramesh Hooda, Advocate,
for respondent Nos. 7 to 12.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Devender Singh of Police Station, City, Sonapat, submits that all the facts have been verified. During inquiry, it has emerged on record that Smt. Asha wife of Raju (petitioner) alongwith her sons has shifted to Kharkhoda, District Sonapat, and residing there happily and, as such, the apprehension expressed by the

petitioner is misconceived.

In view of above, Ms. Aditi Girdhar, learned proxy counsel for the petitioner, states at the bar that she has instructions not to press this petition at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

October 16, 2015
P Kapoor