

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29142 of 2015.  
Decided on:-19.10.2015.

Amrik Singh and others

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.**

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 and 3.

**HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of complaint titled "*Sanjay Kumar Vs. Inderpal Singh and others*" pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kurukshetra, bearing No.104 of 2014, dated 21.8.2013, under Sections 323, 365, 366, 506 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Shahabad (M), District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-2) as well as summoning order dated 5.6.2014 (Annexure P-4) and all the consequential proceedings arising thereof on the basis of compromise deed dated 21.7.2015 (Annexure P-1).

This Court vide order dated 3.9.2015 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded and the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was directed to submit its report about the genuineness of the compromise as per the statements so recorded.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class and have got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded by the parties, learned Magistrate has submitted his report dated 18.9.2015 to the effect that the compromise entered between the parties is genuine and the same has been effected between the parties without any fear and duress and with their own free will.

The factum of compromise between the parties has not been disputed by learned counsel for the respondents.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the complaint.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, this petition is allowed and complaint titled "*Sanjay Kumar Vs. Inderpal Singh and others*" pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kurukshetra, bearing No.104 of 2014, dated 21.8.2013, under

Sections 323, 365, 366, 506 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Shahabad (M), District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-2) as well as summoning order dated 5.6.2014 (Annexure P-4) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, on the basis of compromise deed dated 21.7.2015 (Annexure P-1).

**October 19, 2015**  
Yag Dutt

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**