

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.29103 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.03.2015**

Hardyal Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

Present: Ms. Paramvir Kaur Grewal, Advocate for
Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate
for the respondent-U.T. Chandigarh.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

Learned UT counsel submits on instructions from ASI Mohan Singh that the complaint was filed against private respondents which was dealt with by the official respondents and was filed on the basis of settlement.

Therefore, no further indulgence of this Court is required.

Disposed of.

**(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge**

30.03.2015
sk

