

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM M-31790 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: January 7, 2015

Ramesh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

Present:- Mr. L.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.K. Yadav, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner alongwith Suresh and Nihal Singh had allegedly arrived on the spot of occurrence on motor cycle. Petitioner took active part in the occurrence of firing by his co-accused Suresh. He allegedly pushed the complainant and Pardeep aside before entering in the room of Mewa Singh. He also exhorted Suresh to fire at Mewa Singh as Mewa Singh was not issuing cylinders to them. No doubt, the petitioner was able to escape while co-accused Ramesh was apprehended on the spot.

In view of serious allegations against the petitioner, it is not a case for grant of bail to the petitioner.

I have considered the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been in custody w.e.f. February 8, 2012 but only one witness has been examined. An application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. appears to have been filed on the basis of the statement of Surender Kumar.

Taking into consideration the totality of the circumstances, while disposing of the petition for bail a direction is issued to the trial Court to make earnest endeavour to conclude the trial expeditiously. In case the prosecution evidence is not concluded within a period of six months after the next date of hearing, it will be open to the petitioner to approach this Court again for concession of bail.

Disposed of with the above observations.

January 7 , 2015
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE