

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-2893 of 2015

Date of Decision: February 20, 2015

Shamim Ahmad

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Petitioner in person along with his counsel Mr. Ashok Tobria.

Mr. Satish Saini, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
assisted by ASI Krishan Kumar.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The allegations against the petitioner are that he sworn an affidavit and filed the same before the health authorities, stating that his uncle Naseem Ahmad is dead. On the basis of which, the death certificate was issued. The allegations of the prosecution are that this was done by the petitioner to grab the property of his uncle.

It is stated that Naseem Ahmad, uncle of the petitioner is alive. A perusal of the police files shows that there are allegations against the doctor and ANM (Meena Kumari) also, for involvement in preparing the fake death certificate. The matter needs thorough investigation.

Therefore, I do not find that the present case is a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 20, 2015
sarita