

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

Crl. Misc. No.M-28841 of 2015

Date of decision: August 27, 2015

Rampy and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Bikramjeet Singh Jatana, Advocate for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners as they have solemnized marriage contrary to the wishes of private respondents and are apprehending threat at their instance.

Petitioners, in person, are present in the Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have also moved a representation dated 24.08.2015(Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Mukatsar Sahib for protection but no action has been taken thereupon. He also submits that the petitioners would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued to respondent No.2 to take action on the representation dated 24.08.2015(Annexure P-5).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, notice on behalf of the State has been accepted by Ms. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Mukatsar Sahib to consider the representation dated 24.08.2015(Annexure P-5) moved by the petitioners and take necessary action in accordance with law and in case, any serious threat is there, then protection be granted to the petitioners.

Disposed of accordingly.

August 27, 2015
sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE