

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.28840 of 2015

Date of decision: 8th December, 2015

Manohar Lal and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Arun Abrol, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. C.S. Brar, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Parminder Singh-I, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 17.09.2015 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Gurdaspur has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Karnail Singh and the accused persons namely Manohar Lal and Vijay Kumar, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, the fact that parties are co-villagers and the offences for which the accused has been hauled up are not of heinous nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.91 dated 19.11.2014 registered at Police Station Kahnuwan under Sections 326/325/324/323/34 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 8, 2015

rps