

**Sr. No. 222
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM No. M-31536 of 2013
Date of Decision: 28.08.2015**

Inderjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. Rajesh Garg, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Nimrata Shergill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anmol Grewal, AAG, Punjab,
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Rohit Rana, Advocate, for
Mr. H.S.Dhindsa, Advocate,
for respondents No. 5 to 7.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J (ORAL)

Petitioner, by way of instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short "Cr.P.C."), seeks direction to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of the private respondents. He also seeks a direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to register a case against respondents No. 5 to 7.

Notice of motion was issued and in pursuant thereto reply has been filed.

During the course of hearing, it has transpired that an inquiry was conducted vide inquiry report dated 14.07.2009 Annexure R-1 at page Nos. 67 and 68 of the paper book. However, while concluding his inquiry report, Deputy Superintendent of Police (H) Khanna has relied upon an earlier inquiry report conducted by Sh. Ravinder Loomba, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Samrala on a complaint moved by Smt. Manjit Kaur, which prima facie shows that no independent inquiry was conducted on the complaint moved by the petitioner.

In view of the above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana-respondent No.3 to look into the matter and ensure that an early effective and independent inquiry is conducted on the complaint moved by the petitioner vide Annexure P-9 at an early date but in any case within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. On conclusion of the inquiry report, respondent No.3 shall also ensure taking of an appropriate action without any further loss of time but in any case within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of inquiry report. He shall also see that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of the private respondents.

With the above said observations made and directions issued, present petition stands disposed of.

28.08.2015

Vandana

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**