

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.28831 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27th November, 2015

Gurnihal Singh Pirzada

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Ms. Diya Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Reeta Kohli, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab with
Mr. Hanspal Virk, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

Petitioner Gurnihal Singh Pirzada has come up in this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.125 dated 10.06.2014 registered at Police Station Phase I, SAS Nagar, Mohali under Sections 406/420 IPC.

It is undisputedly agreed by the two sides that the present FIR has been registered by one Narinder Singh claiming to be the Director of M/s International Customers Related Management Services Private Limited (ICRMS) regarding a dispute over the

Company between the various constituents of the Company. It is also not put to question that another case by way of FIR No.69 dated 19.04.2012 has been registered at Police Station Sector 3, Chandigarh for commission of offences under Sections 420/465/467/468/471/120-B IPC.

In the light of detailed judgment dated 16.09.2015 passed by this Court in CRM-M No.1720 of 2013 titled as 'Madan Singh and another v. UT Chandigarh and others', whereby this Court has highlighted how this dispute over running of the same very Company has led to filing of different FIRs, each by a different set of complainants, solely with the purpose to undo the effects of the other FIRs so registered against them and in view of the piquant situation that has crept in, this Court had constituted a Special Investigation Team to investigate the entire dispute between the parties over this Company and its allied functions by way of rejuvenated investigations and who shall be at liberty to register fresh FIR on the basis of available evidence documentary or oral and till any conclusive findings are arrived at by the SIT so constituted, the present matter having become infructuous stands disposed off as such.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

November 27, 2015

rps