

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31418 of 2013

Date of decision : 27.07.2015

Sita Ram Sharma and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Y.D. Kaushik, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Jashanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjay Vashisth, Advocate for Sunil Sharma (husband).

Complainant Sonika in person with
Mr. Sachin Sharma, Advocate.

DARSHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The complainant has placed on file her affidavit wherein it is mentioned that she has received a total sum of Rs.17,50,000/-. It is further mentioned in the affidavit that she undertakes to appear before this Court or any other Court as per the direction of this Court, in case the petitioner files a petition for quashing the proceedings and she will withdraw all the cases as per the compromise and will also not claim the custody of the children.

Learned counsel for the petitioners pleads that the petitioners have already joined the investigation. No recovery is to be effected. The claim of the complainant has already been satisfied with the payment of

Rs.17,50,000/-. The husband of the complainant was already admitted to the regular bail. As the petitioners are not required for any custodial interrogation, the matter has been compromised, so, they deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Learned State counsel has not disputed at bar the fact that petitioners have already joined the investigation and they are not required for any custodial interrogation. Learned counsel for the complainant has also not disputed the factual position with respect to the receipt of Rs.17,50,000/- in total by the complainant as mentioned in the affidavit and factum with respect to the compromise between the parties.

In view of the aforesaid circumstances, as the petitioners have already joined the investigation and no longer required for any custodial interrogation, no recovery is to be effected from the petitioners, they are parents-in-law of the complainant and are the aged persons, so, their detention will no serve any purpose. Moreover, the matter being the matrimonial dispute has been amicable settled between the parties. So, the order of interim anticipatory bail dated 19.09.2013 is hereby made absolute.

As the order dated 24.04.2014 has been complied with with the payment of Rs.17,50,000/- to the complainant, so notice issued to Sunil Sharma, the husband of the complainant is hereby dropped.

27.07.2015
sunil yadav

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE