

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-28512 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 28.10.2015

Deepika Verma and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)
2. To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mrs. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Karamjit Verma, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 8.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State on instructions from ASI Satpal Singh submits that the petitioners have made a statement before the Investigating Officer that they are not in need of any protection.

Mr. Karamjit Verma, Advocate appears on behalf of respondents No.4 to 8 and submits that respondents No.4 to 8 have no objection with the marriage of the petitioners and they had not given any threat to them.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the respondent-State as well as private respondents, nothing survives in the present petition and the same is dismissed as having become infructuous.

October 28, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE