

114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-2850 of 2015

Date of Decision: January 29, 2015

Mohan Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh K. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is living in U.S.A. and is coming to India. He wants to challenge the summoning order dated 11.06.2003 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Hoshiarpur, as well as the order dated 07.04.2014, whereby the petitioner was declared as proclaimed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Hoshiarpur.

The petitioner has apprehension that once he appears, he might be sent behind the bars by the trial court in the present complaint case under Section 406 and 120 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. Therefore, he seeks necessary protection for a period of fifteen days.

In these circumstances, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Sessions Court to challenge the summoning order dated 11.06.2013 (Annexure P-2) and order dated 07.04.2014, vide which the petitioner was declared as proclaimed person.

The petitioner is directed to put in appearance before the trial court immediately. The trial court shall not arrest the petitioner for a period of fifteen days to enable him to avail his remedy before the Sessions Court.

January 29, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE