

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-28422 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.09.2015

Amit Bhatia

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

Present: Ms. Deipa Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana
for the State.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. has been filed by the petitioner for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.11 dated 10.01.2015 under Sections 420, 406, 506, 120-B IPC, Police Station Faridabad Central, District Faridabad.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State counsel.

The allegation against the petitioner mainly is that he is a property dealer and was instrumental in the subsequent sale deed between Gunjan Bagga and Pankaj Rastogi, the original owner.

Gunjan Bagga was granted pre-arrest bail in CRM No.M-4010 of 2015 on 31.07.2015.

Two different transactions were entered into by the original property owner first with the complainant and the second with Gunjan Bagga. The owner is stated to be in custody.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Nayak Ram submits that petitioner was arrested on 20.03.2015 and is in custody since then. Challan has since been presented.

No useful purpose would be served in detaining the petitioner any more in custody as the question of complicity of petitioner is matter of trial.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, without commenting on the merits of the case, keeping in view the fact that the trial will take time in conclusion, the instant petition is allowed and petitioner-Amit Bhatia be admitted to bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

01.09.2015
sk

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge