

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28472-2014

Date of Decision:04.05.2015

Naveena and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Banga, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S.Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has produced photocopy of statement of petitioner no.2-Sandeep Kumar recorded by ASI Sukhwinder Singh in vernacular which is taken on record. In the said statement, it is deposed by petitioner no.2 that he and his wife (petitioner no.1) have started going to the house of his in-law and now there is no threat to his life and liberty.

In view of aforesaid statement of petitioner no.2, instant petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous.

04.05.2015

parveen kumar

**(Paramjeet Singh)
Judge**