

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28439 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 25.08.2015

Sanjay Kumar and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

Present: Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate
for respondent no. 2.

R.P. NAGRATH, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No. 139 dated 24.02.2011 for offences under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code (IPC), registered at Police Station City Gurgaon, District Gurgaon and all consequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

The report from the trial Court has been received after recording statement of respondent no. 2 and the petitioners and it is reported that the compromise reached between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any pressure or coercion.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Murari Lal submits that petitioners are the only accused and respondent no. 2 is the only aggrieved.

No useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench

judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 (P&H) and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and others**, (2012)10 SCC 303, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 139 dated 24.02.2011 for offences under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, Police Station City Gurgaon, District Gurgaon and subsequent proceedings are quashed.

Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms and conditions of the compromise and the statements made by them.

August 25, 2015
jk

(R.P. NAGRATH)
JUDGE