

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28288-2015

Date of Decision: October 31, 2015

RMC Inteyaaz and others	...	Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Haryana and another	...	Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Tushar Gautam, Advocate,
for Mr. Surinder Singh Duhan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. AG, Haryana,
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Karamjit, complainant/respondent No. 2,
present-in-person.

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioners states at the bar that he has instructions to withdraw the present petition to enable the petitioners to file criminal revision petition challenging the impugned summoning order before the Court of Session at the first instance.

Learned counsel for the State has no objection to the above prayer.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with permission to the petitioners to file criminal revision petition before the Court of Session at

the first instance.

It is directed that in the event the petitioners prefer criminal revision petition within one month of passing of this order alongwith an application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963, for condonation of delay in filing criminal revision petition, the application shall be considered sympathetically particularly keeping in view of the fact that the present petition was filed by the petitioners before this Court.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

October 31, 2015
Pkapoor