

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-28365-2014
Date of decision: 24.04.2015**

Ravi Bhatia

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Sutikshan Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. G. S. Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Daljeet Singh Virk, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. D. S. Pheruman, Advocate for the complainant.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, submits that petitioner is unable to arrange any more amount and because of that reason, he is unable to comply with the orders passed by this Court as well as his undertaking given before this Court.

It is a matter of record that interim order granted in favour of the petitioner was being operated because of his undertaking given before this Court. Since the petitioner is now backing out from his own undertaking, it prima facie seems that he is misusing the process of law. Indulgence shown by this Court is being glaringly misused by the petitioner which disentitles him for the relief being claimed. So far as the merits of the case are concerned, allegations against the petitioner are direct and serious. In such a situation, custodial interrogation of the petitioner shall be compulsive necessity of the investigating agency so as to conduct an effective investigation.

Without commenting any further, no case for anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

24.04.2015

mks

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.04.25 12:50
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**