

202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28308 of 2014

Date of decision: January 27, 2015

Raj Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Arihant Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Padam Jain, Advocate
for the complainant.

SABINA, J

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.112 dated 23.07.2014, under Sections 420, 498-A, 406, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Women Patiala, District Patiala.

While issuing notice of motion, following order was passed by this Court on 21.08.2014:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been living separately from their son Vijay Hasija and his wife Sushma Rani and, therefore, they had nothing to do with the day to day life of their son and his wife. It is also submitted that there are general and vague allegations levelled against the petitioners.

Notice of motion to Advocate General, Punjab for 15.12.2014.

In the meantime, the petitioners shall appear before the Investigating Officer on 28.8.2014 at 11.00 a.m. and join the investigation. In the event of their arrest, they be admitted to interim bail by the Investigating Officer/ Arresting Officer to his satisfaction. They shall, however, abide by all the conditions as envisaged by Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Learned State counsel who is assisted by Assistant Sub Inspector Mohinder Singh has submitted that the petitioners have joined investigation.

Accordingly, interim bail granted by this Court to the petitioners vide order dated 21.08.2014, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

January 27, 2015
m.singh