

**117+231 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(1)

CRM-M No. 2820 of 2015
Date of Decision: 23.02.2015.

Raj Pal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Another

.... Respondents

(2)

CRM-M No. 30464 of 2013

Saurabh Chaudhary

..... Petitioner

Versus

Rajpal Singh

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. C. PURI

Present : Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M No. 2820 of 2015.

Mr. J.K. Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M No. 30464 of 2013 and
for respondent No. 2 in CRM-M No. 2820 of 2015.

Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate
for the respondent in CRM-M No. 30464 of 2013

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

K.C. PURI.J (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of aforementioned two petitions bearing CRM-M No. 2820 of 2015, titled as "Raj Pal Versus State of Haryana" and CRM-M No. 30464 of 2013, titled as "Saurabh Chaudhary Versus Raj Pal", as both these petitions have arisen out of the same occurrence.

Contd...P/2...

Both the above mentioned petitions have been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing the FIR No. 600 dated 04.12.2010 under Sections 186, 353 and 427 of IPC registered at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar and complaint No. 92 dated 21.03.2011 titled as Raj Pal Singh Versus Saurabh Chaudhary pending in the Court of Sh. Sachin Tyagi, JMJC, Yamuna Nagar on the basis of compromise, along with all subsequent proceedings arising from that FIR/complaint.

Report of Mediator has been received in which it is mentioned that parties have arrived at a compromise in respect of above said both cases.

The question arose before the Divisional Bench in authority **“Vinod @ Boda and others Vs. State of Haryana and another”** passed in CRM-M No. 31765 of 2012, whether compromise can be allowed in respect of offences under Sections 353 and 186 of IPC and Division Bench of this Court has held that compromise can be allowed in respect of offences under Sections 353 and 186 of IPC.

While sitting singly, I am bound by the above-said judgment.

Keeping in view the above circumstances and in view of authority **Vinod @ Boda's case (supra)**, both the petitions stand accepted. The FIR and complaint case mentioned above stand quashed on the basis of compromise and further proceedings arising from FIR and complaint case also stand quashed.

February 23, 2015

'dk kamra'

**(K.C. PURI)
JUDGE**