

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M- 28199 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: November 03, 2015

M/s Shiv Shakti Infra

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. P.S.Punia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sushil Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Vijay Pal, Advocate
Mr.Sanjeev K.Sharma, Advocate
for private respondents.

Rajan Gupta, J (Oral)

Petitioner has sought direction to respondent Nos. 1 to 5 to take action against respondent Nos. 8 to 10 for interfering in the work being carried out by the petitioner-company at the site in question. Learned State counsel submits that no cognizable offence is made out. However, grievance of the petitioner shall be examined by Superintendent of Police, Hisar and appropriate steps shall be taken if circumstances so warrant.

In view of statement made by learned State counsel, no further direction is necessary.

Disposed of.

(Rajan Gupta)
Judge

November 03, 2015
BB