

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-28186 of 2015

Date of Decision: 02.11.2015

Vikas Krishnawat

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Sanjay Vashisth, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, D.A.G., Haryana
for the respondent-State.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent-State as well as learned counsel for the petitioner submit that the petitioner has expired during pendency of the petition and as such, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as such.

02.11.2015
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE