

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 28236 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 31.08.2015

Kanta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. R.K. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, DAG, Punjab
assisted by HC Satbir Singh.

Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for respondents No. 4 to 6.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

The precise grievance of the petitioner who was allegedly given beatings and whose house has been allegedly grabbed by respondents No. 5 and 6 made a statement Annexure R7/T. She apprehends that under the influence of respondents No. 5 and 6, the proper investigation was not carried out. A case under Section 326 and 452 IPC was made into Section 323 IPC. The learned counsel contends that she still apprehends danger to her life and liberty.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits that after the investigation and on the basis of medical report, case under Section 323 was found to be made out. The allegations made by husband

of the petitioner in his application dated 08.07.2014 were found to be false and the application was recommended to be filed. However the preventive action under Section 107/151 Cr.P.C., was taken against respondents No. 4 and others.

Keeping in view the fact that there are repeated instances of beating given by respondents No. 5 and 6 to the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction that the petitioner will file a comprehensive complaint addressed to the SSP, concerned who will get the investigation carried out under his supervision. The investigation shall be completed within six weeks after the receipt of such an application or complaint.

Disposed of.

31.08.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE