

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.28124 of 2015
Date of Decision : 21.08.2015**

Arzoo and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel states that inadvertently in the representation as well as in the petition the respondent No.2 has been described as Senior Superintendent of Police, Sirsa whereas it should be Superintendent of Police, Sirsa. On his oral request, he is permitted to make necessary correction in the petition.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance of respondent Nos.4 to 14.

The petitioners have got married against the wishes of their parents. They are stated to be major. In support of her proof of age

petitioner No.1 has placed on record a copy of Aadhar Card (Annexure P-2) wherein her date of birth is mentioned as 25.07.1994 whereas petitioner No.2 has also placed on record a copy of Aadhar Card (Annexure P-3) depicting his date of birth as 25.08.1986. They have also moved a representation before the Superintendent of Police, Sirsa (Annexure P-5) for protection of their life and liberty.

Without adverting to the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, the Superintendent of Police, Sirsa to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-5).

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

August 21, 2015
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE