

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-27954 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision : September 10, 2015

Vivek @ Vikki @ Dillu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

1. Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

**Present: Mr. Praduman Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner**

Mr. Deepak K. Grewal, DAG Haryana

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

This is second petition filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail in FIR No. 77 dated 25.03.2014 registered at Police Station Sadar Ballabgarh, District Faridabad under Sections 302, 201, 120-B IPC and Section 25/54/59 of Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner urges that the petitioner was arrested on 02.09.2014 and he was not present when the incident took place and the trial is taking time and the case was based on the circumstantial evidence.

Learned State counsel opposes the bail application and urges that name of the petitioner had cropped up in the disclosure statement made by the co-accused and petitioner was standing guard at the gate of the brick klin when Rekha was done to

death. He states that out of 40 witnesses the prosecution has examined 16 witnesses and the complainant has not been examined yet.

The allegations against the petitioner are serious. No case for bail is made. The petition is dismissed.

September 10, 2015

reena

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**