

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27937 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision : 07.09.2015

Parveen Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar Manchanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, DAG, Punjab
assisted with HC Nirmal Singh

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') seeking regular bail in case FIR No.13 dated 20.03.2014, registered under Section 306 IPC at Police Station Talwandi Chudrian, District Kapurthala.

The learned counsel, inter alia, contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR just to deny her to get the job of her deceased husband-Makhan Singh on compassionate basis. There is delay of more than two years in filing the FIR and there is no evidence regarding any abetment, instigation or provocation and compulsion made by the petitioner to the deceased for committing suicide. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 18.05.2014 and co-accused Baljit Kaur has already been granted

regular bail by this Court in CRM-M No.23524 of 2014 vide order dated 22.07.2014.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail and submits that deceased Makhan Singh remained disturbed from the illicit relationship of the petitioner and accused Gaagi Singh so he committed suicide by consuming poison.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

The petitioner is in custody since 18.05.2014 and the co-accused Baljit Kaur has been admitted to bail by this Court in CRM-M No.23524 of 2014, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future.

In view of above, without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

07.09.2015

ashok

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**