

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT

CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27933-2015

Date of Decision: August 21, 2015

Amarjeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Amitoj Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 3.7.2015, learned Additional Sessions Judge, Moga, had directed the petitioner to deposit 25% of the demanded amount within seven days from the date of passing of the order. However, the said amount was deposited on 29.7.2015 and before that learned Additional Sessions Judge had dismissed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

with his able assistance gone through the material available on record.

There are serious allegations of theft of electricity. The petitioner was stealing electricity and using for commercial purposes. No ground for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

August 21, 2015
P Kapoor