

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.2791 of 2015
Date of Decision: 07.04.2015**

BIKKAR SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. S.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Amitoj Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the complainant.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.135 dated 28.08.2014 registered under Sections 341 302, 34 IPC (Section 302 IPC deleted and Section 304/34 IPC added), Police Station Bagha Purana, District Moga.

This Court on 05.02.2015 passed the following order:-

Counsel for the State on instructions from Head Constable Sikander Singh has informed this Court that there was no injury on the head of the deceased, however, there was blood clot in the brain.

Adjourned to 07.04.2015.

Meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the

investigation as and when called by the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail by the Investigating Officer on his furnishing bail bonds/sureties to his satisfaction, subject to the conditions mentioned below, as envisaged in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C :-

- i. a condition that the person shall make himself/themselves available for interrogation by Police Officer as and when required;*
- ii. a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii. a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court; iv.*
- such other condition as may be imposed under sub section (3) of Section 437, as if the bail were granted under that section.”*

Learned State counsel on instructions from HC Nachattar Singh states that the petitioner has already joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, without commenting upon merits of this case order dated 05.02.2015 is made absolute.

Petitions stands disposed of.

April 07, 2015
Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE