

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-27999 of 2014**

Date of Decision: 22.01.2015

Harvinder Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

**BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY**

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Bhargava, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab  
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 19.08.2014, the petitioner has joined the investigation and this fact has also been affirmed by learned State counsel.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the possession of plot is with the complainant and the suit filed by the petitioner has been dismissed. He further submits that the ownership of the plot is a matter of evidence before the Civil Court and the allegations of criminal offence can be seen only during trial.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation, the interim directions issued by this Court on 19.08.2014 are made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE

22.01.2015

**gurpreet**