

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27895 of 2015.

Decided on:-20.08.2015.

Rakesh Kumar alias Rinku.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though three different FIRs were registered against the petitioner, but in all of them, he has been acquitted. However, the petitioner is still being visited by the police without there being any case against him. He further submits that this fact was brought to the notice of respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur by moving representation dated 6.7.2015 (Annexure P-4).

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the contents of the aforementioned representation and to take appropriate action as warranted by law.

August 20, 2015
'Yag Dutt'

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE