

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-27831 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.08.2015

Subash @ Neeta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

Present: Mr. Himanshu Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurveer Sidhu, AAG, Punjab
for the State.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. has been filed by the petitioner for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.113 dated 14.06.2014 under Sections 22/25 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that on the personal search of petitioner 40 gms. powder of Diphenoxylate Hydrochloride was recovered which is non-commercial. Though recovery of 50 gms. of the powder was also

made from the co-accused, but recovery was made on personal search of each of them. It would be a matter of trial ultimately to see if the separate recoveries made from each of the accused can be clubbed together.

Petitioner is in custody for the last about six months because earlier he was granted interim bail.

Learned State counsel submits that challan has since been presented.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, without commenting on the merits of the case, keeping in view the fact that the trial will take time in conclusion, the instant petition is allowed and petitioner-Subash @ Neeta be admitted to bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

25.08.2015
sk

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge