

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-27839 of 2014
Date of Decision: 23.3.2015**

Pardeep Singh

--Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Punjab

--Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Ranjan Lohan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S.Virk, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No. 80 dated 20.6.2014 under Section 498/A IPC, registered at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 20.8.2014 passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation. He further submits that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner. He concluded by submitting that since the petitioner is not required for custodial investigation, he is entitled for the pre-arrest bail.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Mohinder Pal, Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar, submits that although the petitioner has joined the

investigation, yet recovery of some articles is to be effected from him. He further submits that petitioner being the husband and the main accused, he would be required for custodial interrogation by the investigating agency. He prays for dismissal of the petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and after careful perusal of record of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that present one has not been found to be a fit case for granting pre-arrest bail.

It is so said, because petitioner, being the husband and main accused, his custodial interrogation is the compulsive necessity of the investigating agency, so as to carry out an effective investigation and also to get the recovery effected.

In view the above, no case for anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

23.3.2015
Ak Sharma